

Vocational & Non-Examination Assessment Policy

2023/24

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

Approved/reviewed by

J. Hunt

Date of next review September 2024

Contents

What does this policy affect?	4
Purpose of the policy	4
What are non-examination assessments?	4
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities (see appendix A for an example of Assessment Submission Process)	4
The basic principles	4
Task setting	6
Issuing of tasks	6
Task taking	6
Supervision	6
Advice and feedback	6
Resources	7
Word and time limits	7
Collaboration and group work	7
Authentication procedures	7
Presentation of work	7
Keeping materials secure	8
Registration and Certification (extracted from the Registration and Certification Policy)	9
Assessment	9
Basic Principles (taken from the academy Assessment Policy)	9
Task marking – externally assessed components	10
Conduct of externally assessed work	10
Submission of work	10
Task marking – internally assessed components	10
Marking and annotation	10
Internal standardisation	11
Internal Verification	11
Submission of marks and work for moderation	12
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	12
External moderation – the process	13
External moderation - feedback	13
Access arrangements	13
Special consideration and loss of work	14
Malpractice	14
Enquiries about results	15
Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England	15
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England	
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments at Weavers Academy	16

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

This policy also effects the delivery of any subject of vocational qualifications, Level 1 2 and 3. Which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</u> – Foreword]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments
- the policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment

[<u>NEA</u> 1]

What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

[<u>NEA</u> 1]

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities (see appendix A for an example of Assessment Submission Process)

The basic principles

Head of centre

- Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking
- Ensures the centres declarations for qualifications are completed accurately
- Ensures all have read this document and specification for their related subjects.

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions (including endorsements)
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year
- Ensure a quality nominee is appointed and has oversite of NEA standards (this maybe different SLT members for different subjects)

Quality Nominee (QN)

- QN is to be informed of the QA process led by the LIV and is to check regularly that the QA process is taking place throughout the year.
- QN is to support and monitor the LIV in the QA process.

Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.
- It is a requirement to identify a single person to be Lead IV for each Programme being delivered who acts as the point of accountability for the QA of that programme.
- All Lead IVs must register prior to the 30 September each year (or as soon as practical if a change occurs during the academic year).
- Once registered the Lead IV must access and download the provided Standardisation materials which
 must be shared with all assessors and internal verifiers on the programme, prior to any formal
 assessment taking place.
- The Lead Internal Verifier has responsibility to oversee key internal assessment quality processes throughout the year.
- LIV is responsible to highlight to the QN of any significant breeches in the QA processes. A breech is
 anything which could affect the QA model being effective and/or breeches of specification and JCQ
 regulations.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements).
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the
 awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subjectspecific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the
 internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry
 code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer

- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment
- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments to relevant centre staff

Task setting

LIV (With support from the Subject teacher)

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification according to the current specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work
- The task must be internally verified

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher / LIV

- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates (VOC dates all to be approved by vocational lead MRE)
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Changes to dates and timings of vocational courses must be approved in advance by LIV

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work maybe completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidates own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> assessments and <u>Information for candidates - Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level, unless
 prohibited by the specification and or in the manor that the specification allows.
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner

- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it
- All feedback has to follow specification guidelines for the individual subject area

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work assessed, and any preparatory
 work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory
- Any changes to time limits must be approved in advance by SLT lead or MRE as appropriate

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually
- Ensure the specification is followed for group work to ensure allocation of the correct marks

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of the senior leadership team

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

 Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions

- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution

Keeping materials secure

Head of subject

- · When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series.
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Understands that during the period from submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided the originals are stored securely as required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates'
 work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective backup strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Registration and Certification (extracted from the Registration and Certification Policy)

Exams Officer (with support from Head of Subject)

Aim: To register individual learners to the correct programme within agreed timescales.

To claim valid learner certificates within agreed timescales. To construct a secure, accurate and accessible audit trail to ensure that individual learner registration and certification claims can be tracked to the certificate which is issued for each learner.

In order to do this, Weavers Academy will:

- Register each learner within the awarding body requirements.
- Ensure programme teams check the accuracy of learner registrations at regular intervals.
- Make each learner aware of their registration status.
- Inform the awarding body of withdrawals, transfers or changes to learner details.
- Ensure that certificate claims are timely and based solely on internally verified assessment records.
- · Audit certificate claims made to the awarding body.
- Audit the certificates received from the awarding body to ensure accuracy and completeness.
- Keep all records safely and securely for three years post certification within the exams office.

Procedures

Registration: Registration initiates SV and QRD monitoring. Learners following a standard academic year are to be registered by 31st October. Learners enrolling into flexible start programmes are registered within one month of enrolment. Centre procedures need to facilitate accurate, timely registration.

Assessment

Basic Principles (taken from the academy Assessment Policy)

Aim: To ensure that assessment methodology is valid, reliable and does not disadvantage or advantage any group of learners or individuals.

To ensure that the assessment procedure is open, fair and free from bias and to national standards. To ensure that there is accurate and detailed recording of assessment decisions. In order to do this, the centre will:

- Ensure that learners are provided with assignments that are fit for purpose, to enable them to produce appropriate evidence for assessment.
- Assess learner's evidence using only the published assessment and grading criteria.
- Ensure that assessment decisions are impartial, valid and reliable.
- Not limit or 'cap' learner achievement if work is submitted late.
- Develop assessment procedures that will minimise the opportunity for malpractice.
- Maintain accurate and detailed records of assessment decisions.
- Support staff in training.
- Ensure speedy replacement of Lead IV when appropriate.
- Maintain a robust and rigorous internal verification procedure.
- Annually provide samples for National Standards Sampling if required by the awarding body.
- Share good assessment practice between all RSL programme teams.
- Ensure that RSL assessment methodology and the role of the assessor are understood by all RSL staff.
- Provide resources to ensure that assessment can be performed accurately and appropriately.

Task marking - externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher

- Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner
- Passes candidates work and relevant paperwork to exams officer as per internal deadlines.

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of Centre

Ensures where a teacher is teaching, preparing and assessing a candidate with whom they have a
close relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step family, foster family and similar
close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family a conflict of interest is declared to the
awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of a
moderation sample or not

Subject head/lead

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body by the deadline

Subject teacher

Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale indicated in the centre's *internal appeals* procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body
- Using exam specification, the recording of learner marks with work is clearly identified, ensuring the correct paperwork is complete
- Recording of the marks onto internal trackers should only be done after marking annotations are completed, as per JCQ and specification requirements

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - retaining work and evidence of standardisation

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is the later

Internal Verification

LIV

Aim:

- To ensure that internal verification is valid, reliable and covers all assessors and programme activity.
- To ensure that the internal verification procedure is open, fair and free from bias.
- To ensure that there is accurate and detailed recording of internal verification decisions.

In order to do this, the centre will ensure that:

- There is a lead internal verifier for each principal subject area.
- Each lead internal verifier oversees effective internal verification systems within each principal subject area. They will ensure there is a sample of students work verified for each unit that has been taught
- Staff are briefed and trained in the requirements for current internal verification procedures. Staff will know the number of pieces of student's work that need to be verified for each unit.
 - o For 1-5 learners, all units, all learners
 - o For 6-10 learners, all units, 6 learners equally across grades
 - o For 11-20 learners, all units, 10 learners equally across grades

- o For 21-30 learners, all units, 15 learners equally across grades
- Effective internal verification roles are defined, maintained and supported. Internal verification will be monitored at faculty and whole school level. The Whole school BTEC Coordinator will see a selection of verified work from each faculty area after it has been verified within the faculty.
- Internal verification is promoted as a developmental process between staff
- Standardised internal verification documentation is provided and used.
- All centre assessment instruments are verified as fit for purpose
- An annual internal verification schedule, linked to assessment plans, is in place. This will take the form of the IV schedule for assignment briefs and assessment decisions.
- An appropriately structured sample of assessment from all programmes, sites and teams is internally verified, to ensure centre programmes conform to national standards and standards verification requirements
- Records of all internal verification activity are maintained
- The outcome of internal verification is used to enhance future assessment practice

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher or LIV where appropriate

- Ensures all marks have had standardisation/Internal verification and authentication before marks are input according to JCQ specification guidelines. If this has not occurred see the Exams Officer.
- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the
 relevant completed coversheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator
 in addition to the sample requested

Exams officer with LIV for all Vocational

- LIV/QN Ensures all marks have had standardization/Internal verification and authentication before
 marks are input according to JCQ specification guidelines. If this has not occurred see the Exams
 Officer.
- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the
 marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been
 submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - · proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates'
 work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific
 information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject head

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- In liaison with the IT Manager takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged, stored in the exams safe and returned to the subject teacher after ROM date has passed for secure storage and required retention.

External moderation – the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation - feedback

Subject head/lead

- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series
- Ensure QN, LIV and SLT lead receive a copy of the moderators report

QN/LIV/SLT Lead

 Receives moderator reports from subject lead or Exams Officer as appropriate and supports any remedial action and/or future planning in the subject areas

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable</u> <u>Adjustments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - is absent
 - produces a reduced quantity of work
 - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>

 <u>Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates
 producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and
 ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or
 suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies</u> and <u>Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged suspected or actual malpractice

Enquiries about results

Head of centre

- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Subject head/lead

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- · Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally
 assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post</u>
 <u>Results Services</u>, <u>Information and guidance to centres</u>
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

Head of centre

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
 reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or
 will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Subject teacher

• Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood

- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

Exams officer

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or
will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments at Weavers Academy

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk
Task setting	

Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start
failure/corruption of task details	of course
where set task details accessed	IT systems checked prior to key date
from the awarding body online	Alternative IT system used to gain access
	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information,
fails to meet the assessment	practice materials etc.
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting
specification	arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification
opeomodion	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task
Candidates do not understand	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the
the marking criteria and what	specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of
they need to do to gain credit	candidates is produced for candidates
they need to do to gain oredit	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria
Cubicat tagahar lang tarm	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key
absence during the task setting	points in the exam cycle
stage	
Issuing of tasks	
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification
issued to candidates on time	noted prior to start of course
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be
	issued and needs to be completed by
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and
	teaching
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's
candidates	specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key
absence during the issuing of	points in the exam cycle
tasks stage	,
Task taking	
Supervision	
Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course
other centre or candidate	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar
activities	7 toocoomene aatea penioae moladea m centre wide calendar
Rooms or facilities inadequate	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start
for candidates to take tasks	of the course
under appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of
under appropriate supervision	T STAUDELEU SESSIONS ANANDEU WHELE IT TACHINES INSUMBENT IOL HUMBOEL OF
11 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
11 1 1 200	candidates
	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time
	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)
Insufficient supervision of	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific
Insufficient supervision of	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be followed to
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not	candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special

Advise and feedback	
Advice and feedback	
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all
advice and feedback not given	information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's
by subject teacher prior to	quality assurance procedures
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm
	monitoring activity
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to
	starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their
	work
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all
feedback given by subject	advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part
teacher during the task-taking	of the centre's quality assurance procedures
stage	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm
	monitoring activity
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the
	task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking
	stage
A third party plains that	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed
assistance was given to	and statements recorded where relevant
candidates by the subject	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given
teacher over and above that	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding
allowed in the regulations and	body
specification	
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is
information from published	submitted for formal assessment
source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates:
304100	non-examination assessments
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is
	regularly checked to ensure continued completion
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of
references as required	references before work is submitted for formal assessment
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates:
	non-examination assessments. Candidate's detailed record of his/her own
	research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued
	completion
Candidate joins the course late	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up
after formally supervised task	The second of th
taking has started	
	Averaging heady avaidance is accorded to determine what are he done demanding as
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on
centre during the course	the stage at which the move takes place
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is
complete his/her non-	available to a candidate outside mainstream education
examination assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made
, ,	separately for the candidate
Resources	•
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure
and resources between formally	between formally supervised sessions
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept
	secure between formally supervised sessions
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted
	between formally supervised sessions
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is
acknowledge sources on work	checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and
that is submitted for assessment	audio/visual resources
111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should
	be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources
	appropriately
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body
	guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for
	the candidate
Word and time limits	

A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time
Callabaration and arrays work	limits is known and understood
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved
not permitted	
Authentication procedures A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply
Candidate plagiarises other material	with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment
formal assessment Keeping materials secure	
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required
Task marking – externally asse	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register
Task marking – internally asses A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when
work	marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work

The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be
or damaged	followed for lost or damaged work
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Policies and Procedures are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed
A teacher marks the work of a candidate with whom they have a close relationship e.g. family member/close friend family member	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is preparing/teaching said child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates
A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the academy website, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)

If any of the above occurs please contact the Exams Officer in the first instances for support and guidance.

BTEC Assessment Submission Process (Level 1 – 3 excluding NQF Level 1/2 Firsts)

